



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE:

APPLICATION OF : Elizabeth Iftikhar
TITLE : Multimedia Method and Apparatus For Teaching
Language
FILING DATE : May 22, 2000
SERIAL NO. : 09/578,168
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. : 3112-6

Office of Petitions
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Box DAC
Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED

OCT 04 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Petition to Recover Fees

Dear Sir:

I received a *Notice of Abandonment* for the above referenced patent application dated May 6, 2002. The *Notice* recited that a *Notice to File Missing Parts* was mailed on August 2, 2000. I had waited for a response from the Office to the initial filing on May 22, 2000 and had never received anything except for my return post card and the Filing Receipt dated August 2, 2000. Most importantly, I never received the *Notice to File Missing Parts*.

On, July 25, 2002, I spoke to Mr. Joshua Day of the Office of Initial Patent Examination Division. He advised me to write to request that another copy of the *Notice to File Missing Parts* be sent so that I could file it along with my *Petition for Revival* of the application. I forwarded that request on July 25, 2002. I never received a response to my request. However, I was able


to get a faxed copy of the *Notice to File Missing Parts* which I have used with the accompanying *Response to Notice to File Missing Parts*.

I believe the Office erred in holding that the patent was abandoned. Specifically, I point to the fact that the *Notice of Abandonment* was sent 21 months after the *Notice to File Missing Parts* was presumably sent and 15 months after the end of any possible extension period which could have been obtained. I respectfully submit that it is not unreasonable to assume that there was an err by some clerical personnel who found the non-sent *Notice to File Missing Parts* 21 months after the filing receipt was sent and decided that, rather than send the *Notice to File Missing Parts*, to send out the *Notice of Abandonment* instead. I understand that this type of delay is not at all consistent with Office policy, and I certainly have never experienced this type of delay before.

The 21 month delay in sending out the *Notice of Abandonment*, and the failure to respond to my request for a copy of the *Notice to File Missing Parts* both contributed to the delay in filing the *Petition for Revival*. In view of the Office's failure to send a *Notice to File Missing Parts* and the subsequent 21 month delay in sending the *Notice of Abandonment*, I respectfully request that the petition fees accompanying the *Petition for Revival* and this *Petition* be refunded.

March 14, 2003

Respectfully submitted,



Laurence Weinberger
USPTO No. 27,965
Suite 103, 882 S. Matlack St.
West Chester, PA 19382
610-431-1703
610-431-4181 (fax)



DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR UNITED STATES PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am an original, first and sole inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

MULTIMEDIA METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR TEACHING LANGUAGE

the specification of which was filed on May 22, 2000 as application No. 09/578,168.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the content of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed: NONE

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

U. S. Patent Application Ser. No. 60/135,303 filed May 21, 1999

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint Laurence A. Weinberger, USPTO Registration No. 27,965, to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Send Correspondence to:

Laurence A. Weinberger, Esq.
Suite 103
882 S. Matlack St.
P.O. Box 1663
West Chester, PA 19380

Direct Telephone Calls to:

Laurence A. Weinberger, Esq.
(610) 431-1703
Facsimile: (610) 431-4181
larry@lawpatent.com

Elizabeth Iftikhar

Inventor's signature:



Date:

3.11.03

Residence: P.O. Box 117, 965 Point Seaside Avenue, Crystal Beach, FL 34681
Citizenship: U.S.A.
Post Office Address: P.O. Box 117, 965 Point Seaside Avenue, Crystal Beach, FL 34681



DAC

PTO/SB/04 (09-08)

Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT
ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)**

Docket Number (Optional)
0198

First named inventor: Elizabeth IFTIKHAR

Application No.: 09/578,168

Art Unit: 3712

Filed: 05/22/2000

Examiner: N/A

Title: MULTI-MEDIA METHOD AND APPARATUS
FOR TEACHING LANGUAGE

RECEIVED

OCT 04 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Attention: Office of Petitions
Mail Stop Petition
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
FAX (571) 273-8300

NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions Information at (571) 272-3282.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the office notice or action plus an extensions of time actually obtained.

APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION

NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items:

- (1) Petition fee;
- (2) Reply and/or issue fee;
- (3) Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee - required for all utility and plant applications filed before June 8, 1995; and for all design applications; and
- (4) Statement that the entire delay was unintentional.

1. Petition fee

☒ Small entity-fee \$ 750 (37 CFR 1.17(m)). Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.

☐ Other than small entity - fee \$ _____ (37 CFR 1.17(m))

2. Reply and/or fee

A. The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action in the form of application filing fees and surcharge. (Identify type of reply):

☐ has been filed previously on _____
☒ is enclosed herewith.

B. The issue fee and publication fee (if applicable) of \$ _____

☐ has been paid previously on _____
☐ is enclosed herewith.

[Page 1 of 2]

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.137(b). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

10/02/2006 HDNESS1 00000041 09578168

05 FC:2453

750.00 0P

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee

☒ Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.☐ A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ _____ for a small entity or \$ _____ for other than a small entity) disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed herewith (see PTO/SB/63).

4. STATEMENT: The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. [NOTE: The United States Patent and Trademark Office may require additional information if there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP 711.03(c), subsections (III)(C) and (D)).]

WARNING:

Petitioner/applicant is cautioned to avoid submitting personal information in documents filed in a patent application that may contribute to identity theft. Personal information such as social security numbers, bank account numbers, or credit card numbers (other than a check or credit card authorization form PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes) is never required by the USPTO to support a petition or an application. If this type of personal information is included in documents submitted to the USPTO, petitioners/applicants should consider redacting such personal information from the documents before submitting them to the USPTO. Petitioner/applicant is advised that the record of a patent application is available to the public after publication of the application (unless a non-publication request in compliance with 37 CFR 1.213(a) is made in the application) or issuance of a patent. Furthermore, the record from an abandoned application may also be available to the public if the application is referenced in a published application or an issued patent (see 37 CFR 1.14). Checks and credit card authorization forms PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes are not retained in the application file and therefore are not publicly available.

[Signature]
Signature

9.25.06
Date

Elizabeth G. Tikhon
Typed or printed name

Registration Number, if applicable

908 Greenwood Rd
Address

919.969.6614
Telephone Number

Chapel Hill, NC 27514
Address

RECEIVED

Enclosures: ☒ Fee Payment

OCT 04 2006

☒ Reply

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

☐ Terminal Disclaimer Form☒ Additional sheets containing statements establishing unintentional delay☒ Other: PTO/SB/17, PTO-2038, Return Receipt Postcard

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:

☒ Deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.☐ Transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at (571) 273-8300.

09/27/2006
Date

Bruce E. Weir
Signature
BRUCE E. WEIR
Typed or printed name of person signing certificate



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 09/578,168 Confirmation No. 5023
Applicant : Elizabeth IFTIKHAR
Filed : 05/22/2000
TC/A.U. : 3712
Examiner : N/A
Docket No. : 0198
Customer No. : 32366

Attention: Office of Petitions
Mail Stop Petition
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED
OCT 04 2006
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §137(b)

Sir:

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of her petition requesting revival under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) of the above-referenced application. In support of her request, Applicant submits the following herewith:

- 1) the remainder of the required reply in the form of filing fees and a late-filing surcharge;
- 2) Form PTO/SB/64, including a Statement of Unintentional Delay and petition fee;
- 3) a Declaration by Applicant explaining the entire delay until 2006;

- 4) a listing of telephone calls made by Applicant to former counsel, titled "Exhibit A: Telephone Calls from Elizabeth Iftikhar to Laurence Weinberger Between 14-July-2000 and 25-June-2004"; and
- 5) a copy of a letter from Applicant's former counsel responding to the dismissal of the petitions resubmitted 07/07/2006

Copies of the petitions resubmitted on 07/07/2006 are also included for convenience.

As the file wrapper shows no indication of any fee payments in connection with this application, Applicant submits via Forms PTO/SB/17 and PTO-2038 the following fees:

- 1) a basic filing fee (code 2011) of \$150;
- 2) a utility search fee (code 2111) of \$250;
- 3) a utility examination fee (code 2311) of \$100;
- 4) a late-filing surcharge (code 2051) of \$65;
- 3) a petition fee (code 2453) of \$750.

Applicant notes that no claim of small entity status appears in the application as filed, and that the fee calculation sheet in the file wrapper indicates fees due based on large entity status. However, as indicated on accompanying form PTO/SB/17 and reflected in the fee amounts above, applicant was a small entity under 37 C.F.R. 1.27 when the application was filed and remains a small entity as of this filing. Since the application was filed after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer or related fee is required.

As stated on Form PTO/SB/64 and explained in detail in both Applicant's Declaration and attached letter and petitions from former counsel, Applicant at no time intended to abandon her application. She made regular contact with former counsel and was reassured by former counsel that although some problems had arisen, such problems

were commonplace and would be worked out "in due course." Since it is possible that the prosecution of an application may span several years, Applicant became concerned about the status of her application only after she began having difficulty obtaining answers from former counsel regarding the application's progress.

Applicant's concerns compelled her to engage present counsel in November of 2005 and request that he investigate the status of the application. As described in the timeline below, delays in petition filing after November of 2005 resulting from difficulty in obtaining records from the USPTO (which had considerable difficulty locating the file), difficulty in obtaining records from former counsel, and difficulty in locating Applicant's telephone call records. Applicant's communications with former counsel were almost exclusively by telephone, so Applicant has no other printed documents to supply.

Attempt to Revive Application, October 2005 to Present

- | | |
|------------|--|
| 10/27/2005 | B. Weir had telephone conversation with Naveed Iftikhar regarding status of application filed in 2000. Received e-mail copy of application filing receipt. |
| 10/28/2005 | B. Weir e-mailed N. Iftikhar to acknowledge receipt of filing receipt, requested any other relevant information. |
| 11/11/2005 | Elizabeth Iftikhar engaged Bruce E. Weir, USPTO Reg. No. 48,181, to determine status of her application. |
| 11/19/2005 | B. Weir filed change of Power of Attorney and Status Inquiry. |
| 01/24/2006 | B. Weir has received no response to Status Inquiry, called Group 3712 to determine status. Group 3712 states that application never left OIPE, |

was abandoned in 2002. OIPE confirms abandonment on 5-4-02 because of missing oath and declaration. POA has not been made of record, faxed another copy of POA to USPTO with request for expedited processing. Called E. Iftikhar to discuss probable application status, information needed for petition to revive.

- 01/30/2006 B. Weir had telephone conversation with E. Iftikhar, who says she has received no documents from L. Weinberger regarding application status or prosecution other than filing receipt.
- 02/01/2006 B. Weir called OIPE regarding POA. Still no record of POA, OIPE states that file is in paper format only and was transferred to AU 3700 on January 20. AU 3700 cannot find file, asks that I call back tomorrow.
- 02/02/2006 B. Weir called different numbers within AU 3700 repeatedly without reaching anyone who could answer questions, reviewed fax documents from E. Iftikhar, called E. Iftikhar to update and to inquire about declaration filing date.
- 02/06/2006 B. Weir called TC 3700, left voice mail for AU 3712, spoke to Group Director's office. Group Director believes file is in warehouse, will refer case to file reconstruction specialist for search. Specialist states that a change of address was made for L. Weinberger in August of last year, and that file was sent from AU 3700 to OIPE on 2-3-06. Spoke to OIPE, OIPE will track file and respond.
- 02/08/2006 B. Weir received and reviewed Notice of Missing Parts and Notice of Abandonment from OIPE, drafted application file wrapper request and transmitted to USPTO document services via fax.

02/09/2006 B. Weir called File Information Unit to arrange review of file wrapper, called Office of Petitions to discuss proof requirements for revival based on Unavoidable Abandonment, responded to call from Office of Publications and Records regarding modifications to file wrapper order.

02/10/2006 B. Weir traveled to File Information Unit in Alexandria, VA, reviewed file wrapper.

02/13/2006 B. Weir drafted inquiry letter to Laurence Weinberger regarding circumstances of abandonment.

02/14/2006 B. Weir called E. Iftikhar to provide update and obtain information. Revised letter to L. Weinberger, e-mailed to E. Iftikhar for review.

02/15/2006 B. Weir called E. Iftikhar to discuss letter to L. Weinberger, mailed letter to L. Weinberger.

02/24/2006 B. Weir received call from L. Weinberger in response to letter of 02/15/2006. Weinberger claims to have filed Petition to Revive, will send documentation.

03/13/2006 B. Weir has not received documentation from L. Weinberger, drafted and mailed letter to L. Weinberger reminding him that his response is urgently needed.

03/27/2006 B. Weir called L. Weinberger to inquire about documentation of reasons for delay in filing request to revive application. Reached voice mail.

03/31/2006 B. Weir spoke to L. Weinberger regarding explanation of delay. He claimed to have sent documentation two weeks ago, but will resend response ASAP.

04/03/2006 B. Weir called E. Iftikhar to update on progress and discuss my conversation with L. Weinberger on March 31.

05/08/2006 B. Weir reviewed file and material relating to revival petition. Drafted and mailed letter apprising E. Iftikhar of the status of attempt to revive her patent application.

05/12/2006 B. Weir discussed case status with E. Iftikhar.

05/31/2006 B. Weir e-mailed E. Iftikhar to inquire as to receipt of information from L. Weinberger. E. Iftikhar had recent conversation with Weinberger, who promised to "resend" information. E. Iftikhar believes that Weinberger is in Alaska for three weeks.

06/05/2006 B. Weir reviewed material forwarded by N. Iftikhar from L. Weinberger.

06/08/2006 B. Weir drafted and faxed inquiry letter to Office of Petitions regarding Petition for Revival filed by L. Weinberger.

06/13/2006 B. Weir drafted and transmitted e-mail update to E. Iftikhar.

07/07/2006 B. Weir called Office of Petitions to inquire about status of status inquiry. Petitions had not received the file, recommended contacting OIPE. OIPE had file, said that "someone is working on the case." Does

not yet have inquiry on record. Faxed inquiry a second time on recommendation of Office of Petitions.

- 07/21/2006 B. Weir called USPTO regarding petition status. OIPE acknowledges receipt of petition and status inquiry on June 8. Office of Petitions now has L. Weinberger's petition and will attempt to expedite consideration.
- 07/28/2006 B. Weir called John Gillon in Office of Petitions to check on status. Gillon does not have file, expects to have file soon.
- 07/29/2006 B. Weir received call from John Gillon at Office of Petitions. Gillon finds no record of any fees paid in connection with application and recommends refiling of petition with payment of all fees due. B. Weir left voice mail message with E. Iftikhar requesting callback for discussion.
- 08/01/2006 B. Weir drafted and sent e-mail message to E. Iftikhar describing discussion with Office of Petitions and urgency of moving forward with petition.
- 08/05/2006 B. Weir received and reviewed petition dismissal letter from Office of Petitions.
- 08/06/2006 B. Weir reviewed records and compiled application timeline. Drafted, mailed, and repeatedly attempted to fax letter to L. Weinberger requesting explanation of prosecution delays and evidence of fee payments. L. Weinberger's fax system is non-functional. E-mailed copy of timeline to E. Iftikhar.

08/07/2006 Spoke to E. Iftikhar on telephone regarding information needed for statement of unintentional abandonment.

08/08/2006 Received call from N. Iftikhar, discussed information needed for statement. N. Iftikhar will search for records of interaction with L. Weinberger. Faxed to E. Iftikhar copy of letter mailed and faxed to L. Weinberger.

08/17/2006 E-mailed inquiry to E. Iftikhar regarding progress of records search.

08/29/2006 Received list of phone calls from E. Iftikhar to L. Weinberger between 07/14/2000 and 8/13/2002. N. Iftikhar will search for additional records.

9/13/2006 E-mailed Iftikhars to inquire about additional records related to application.


09/15/2006 Received call from L. Weinberger, discussed additional support for reconsideration petition. L. Weinberger will review records and call back on Monday, Sept. 18.

09/22/2006 Called L. Weinberger to inquire about absence of promised evidence supporting Petition to Revive, left voice message. L. Weinberger returned call, said that he had e-mailed information on Wednesday, Sept. 20. Described information, will resend later. Received and reviewed e-mail from L. Weinberger with letter to PTO attached.

09/23/2006 Reviewed evidence, spoke to E. Iftikhar regarding absence of evidence between 2002 and present, she will look for additional evidence. Drafted request for reconsideration of petition to revive.

Although the delay in responding to the abandonment of this application may appear protracted, Applicant has proceeded in as timely a manner as she was able, given her knowledge of patent prosecution and the information provided to her. Applicant earnestly wishes to prosecute her application and therefore respectfully requests that her application be revived under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b).

Respectfully Submitted,



Bruce E. Weir

Reg. No 48,191

September 27, 2006

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Attention: Office of Petitions
Mail Stop Petition
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on 9/27/2006.

Name of person signing this certificate:

Bruce E. Weir

Signature Bruce E. Weir



10/02/2006 HDENESS1 00000041 09578168
01 FC:2051 65.00 DP
02 FC:2011 150.00 DP
03 FC:2111 250.00 DP
04 FC:2311 100.00 DP

PTO/SB/17 (07-06)
Approved for use through 01/31/2007. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

Effective on 12/08/2004.
Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).

FEE TRANSMITTAL

For FY 2006

☒ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$)
1315

Complete if Known

Application Number	<u>09/578,168</u>
Filing Date	<u>05/22/2006</u>
First Named Inventor	<u>Elizabeth IFTIKHAR</u>
Examiner Name	<u>N/A</u>
Art Unit	<u>3712</u>
Attorney Docket No.	<u>0198</u>

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)

☐ Check ☒ Credit Card ☐ Money Order ☐ None ☐ Other (please identify): _____

☐ Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: _____ Deposit Account Name: _____

For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)

☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee
☐ Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 ☐ Credit any overpayments

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

FEE CALCULATION

1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES

Application Type	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		Fees Paid (\$)
	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100	<u>500</u>
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65	
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80	
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300	
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0	

2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES

Fee Description

Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)
Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)
Multiple dependent claims

Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)
50	25
200	100
360	180

Total Claims Extra Claims Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$)
_____ - 20 or HP = _____ x _____ = _____

HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20.

Indep. Claims Extra Claims Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$)
_____ - 3 or HP = _____ x _____ = _____

HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3.

3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE

If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).

Total Sheets Extra Sheets Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$)
_____ - 100 = _____ / 50 = _____ (round up to a whole number) x _____ = _____

4. OTHER FEE(S)

Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)

Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): 2051 late filing surcharge, 2453 1.137(6) petition 815

SUBMITTED BY

Signature	<u>Bruce E. Weir</u>	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	<u>40,191</u>	Telephone	<u>301-977-6009</u>
Name (Print/Type)	<u>BRUCE E. WEIR</u>	Date	<u>9-27-2006</u>		


This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

OCT 04 2006

RECEIVED



PTO/SB/17 (07-06)

Approved for use through 01/31/2007. OMB 0651-0032

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

Effective on 12/08/2004.

Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).

FEE TRANSMITTAL

For FY 2006

☒ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 1315

Complete if Known

Application Number	09/578,168
Filing Date	05/22/2006
First Named Inventor	Elizabeth IFTIKHAR
Examiner Name	N/A
Art Unit	3712
Attorney Docket No.	0198

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)

☐ Check ☒ Credit Card ☐ Money Order ☐ None ☐ Other (please identify): _____☐ Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: _____ Deposit Account Name: _____

For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)

☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee☐ Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 ☐ Credit any overpayments

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

FEE CALCULATION

1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES

Application Type	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		Fees Paid (\$)
	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100	500
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65	
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80	
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300	
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0	

2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES

Fee Description	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)
Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)	50	25
Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)	200	100
Multiple dependent claims	360	180
Total Claims		
Extra Claims		
Fee (\$)		
Fee Paid (\$)		
Indep. Claims		
Extra Claims		
Fee (\$)		
Fee Paid (\$)		

3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE

If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).

Total Sheets	Extra Sheets	Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)
- 100 =	/ 50 =	(round up to a whole number) x		

4. OTHER FEE(S)

Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)

Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): 2051 late filing surcharge, 2453 1.137(h) petition

Fees Paid (\$)

815

SUBMITTED BY

Signature	<u>Bruce E. Weir</u>	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	<u>40,191</u>	Telephone	<u>301-977-6009</u>
Name (Print/Type)	<u>BRUCE E. WEIR</u>	Date	<u>9-27-2006</u>		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

OCT 04 2006

RECEIVED